

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
2 David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758)
3 davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com
4 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
5 San Francisco, California 94111
6 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
7 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

8 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
9 Ryan S. Goldstein (Bar No. 208444)
10 ryangoldstein@quinnemanuel.com
11 865 S. Figueroa St., Floor 10
12 Los Angeles, California 90017
13 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
14 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

15 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
16 Jared W. Newton (admitted *pro hac vice*)
17 jarednewton@quinnemanuel.com
18 K. Kevin Chu (admitted *pro hac vice*)
19 kevinchu@quinnemanuel.com
20 1300 I Street, NW, Suite 900
21 Washington, D.C. 20005
22 Telephone: (202) 538-8000
23 Facsimile: (202) 538-8100

24 MINAMINO LAW OFFICE, PLLC
25 Koichiro Minamino (admitted *pro hac vice*)
26 mick@minaminolaw.com
27 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 6000
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 777-3638

28 Attorneys for Defendants
18 HAMAMATSU CORPORATION,
19 HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS K.K., and
PHOTONICS MANAGEMENT CORP.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

29 SEMICAPS PTE LTD.,
30 Plaintiff,
31
32 vs.
33 HAMAMATSU CORPORATION, et al.,
34 Defendants.

35 CASE NO. 17-cv-03440-DMR

36 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME
37 TO RESPOND TO INITIAL
38 COMPLAINT (L. Civ. R. 6-1(a))

39 Judge: Honorable Donna M. Ryu

1 Plaintiff, SEMICAPS Pte Ltd. (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Hamamatsu Corporation,
2 Hamamatsu Photonics K.K., and Photonics Management Corp. (“Defendants”), by and through
3 their respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

4 WHEREAS Plaintiff filed the Complaint underlying this action on June 14, 2017;

5 WHEREAS on September 19, 2017, the Court issued an Order (Dkt. No. 28) staying this
6 action pending *inter partes* review (“IPR”) of the sole asserted patent;

7 WHEREAS on March 18, 2019, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”) issued its
8 Final Written Decisions in the two IPRs (IPR2017-02112 and IPR2017-02110) that Defendants
9 filed challenging the sole asserted patent in this case;

10 WHEREAS, in those Final Written Decisions the PTAB determined that Defendants had
11 not shown that the challenged claims were unpatentable;

12 WHEREAS on April 1, 2019, the Parties jointly submitted the Second Case Management
13 Statement (Dkt. No. 29) pursuant to the Court’s September 19, 2017 Order (Dkt. No. 28);

14 WHEREAS Defendants have been served but have not yet filed a response to the
15 Complaint;

16 WHEREAS, by prior stipulation between the Parties, Defendants’ response to the
17 Complaint is currently due on Friday, April 26, 2019;

18 WHEREAS Defendants retained new counsel, Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP,
19 and requested that Plaintiff agree to extend them additional time to respond to the Complaint;

20 WHEREAS, pursuant to that request the Parties have agreed and stipulated to extend the
21 deadline for Defendants’ response to the Complaint by four weeks to Friday, May 24, 2019;

22 WHEREAS, the extension to respond will not impact any other deadlines in this action,
23 including the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order;

24 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned counsel,
25 that the deadline for Defendants to respond to the Complaint is extended four (4) weeks to and
26 including Friday, May 24, 2019.

27 **IT IS SO STIPULATED:**

28

1 Dated: April 19, 2019

MARTON RIBERA SCHUMANN & CHANG LLP

2 By: /s/ Ryan J. Marton

3
4 HECTOR RIBERA (221511)
5 hector@martonribera.com
6 RYAN J. MARTON (223979)
7 ryan@martonribera.com
8 CAROLYN CHANG (217933)
9 carolyn@martonribera.com
10 548 Market Street, Suite 36117
11 San Francisco, California 94104
12 [Tel.] (415) 360-2511

13
14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
15 SEMICAPS Pte Ltd.

16 Dated: April 19, 2019

17 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN,
18 LLP

19 By: /s/ David Eiseman
20 David Eiseman

21 Attorneys for Defendants
22 HAMAMATSU CORPORATION,
23 HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS K.K., and
24 PHOTONICS MANAGEMENT CORP.

25
26
27
28

SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

2 Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I, David Eiseman, the ECF user whose ID and password
3 are being used to file this STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO INITIAL
4 COMPLAINT, attest that the above-referenced signatories to this Stipulation have concurred in
5 this filing.

7 | Dated: April 19, 2019

/s/ *David Eiseman*

David Eiseman

1 PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

2 This _____ date of _____, 2019

5 The Honorable Donna M. Ryu

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28